

WHAT IS THE TRUTH ABOUT PCR TESTS?

The PCR test (Polymerase Chain Reaction) is used in the majority of the world, keeping large parts of the world on lockdown since March, 2020.

The lockdowns are based primarily on positive PCR tests, a large portion of which are from people who have no symptoms or actual illness at all.

Dr. Saqib Shahab, Saskatchewan's chief medical health officer in March of 2020 explained that there are seven different types of coronavirus, most of which are only common colds. If someone is ill and gets swabbed at their local clinic, the test result may come back positive for a coronavirus, but this does not mean they have COVID-19. "All those concerns have been because the test report says coronavirus, but it's not COVID-19," he said. "A coronavirus-positive test result just means you have the common cold."¹

In growing numbers, many experts are now denouncing PCR testing for Covid-19. Medical experts in multiple countries have stated that they are not going to allow this type of testing anymore because it is inaccurate. Portugal is one of those countries.

Why is the PCR test the wrong tool to use?

Here is how Dr. Eric Neptune of St. Louis, Missouri answers the question.²

1. The PCR test cannot distinguish between live viruses and inactive non-infectious viruses. Therefore, it should not be used as a diagnostic tool. Dr. Kary Mullis the PHD Nobel Prize-winning scientist that created these tests made this statement before he died. The PCR test cannot distinguish between live or inactive viruses meaning the inactive viruses are not infectious. Because of these results, it is grossly misleading to refer to a positive test as clinical infections.
2. Researchers at the University of Florida, and a study of almost 10 million people in China have discovered that asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19 did not occur at all. This supports that having no symptoms means you cannot spread the virus.

The PCR test cannot confirm that Covid-19 is the causative agent for clinical symptoms as the test cannot rule out diseases by other bacteria or pathogens, meaning the PCR test swab cannot tell if it's a live or an inactive virus.

3. The PCR test has not been established for monitoring the treatment of Covid-19 infection. There is something in virology in the infectious disease and epidemiology arena known as the gold standard. If they draw somebody's blood that has a disease, they should be able to identify and isolate that disease then take that disease and inoculate it into another mammal and they should have the same symptoms that the original subject had. That's never been done with Covid-19.
4. The PCR test has an exceptionally high false positive rate. This is a result of the high cycle amplification. The more they blow up the cycles to detect the RNA particles the greater the chances of false positives.

The Oxford study that recently came out shows that if you have a PCR amplification above 25 it has a 75% false positive probability. If they amplify it at 35 and above you have a probability of a 97% false positive result. If they go at the clinical thresholds that's over 35 that's deemed scientifically unjustifiable fraud. You should not test above 25 cycles. The problem is most labs in United States and Canada (and really across the world) are testing at around the 40 times amplification mark and above.

¹ <https://leaderpost.com/news/saskatchewan/province-warns-of-false-covid-19-rumours-says-no-confirmed-cases>

² <https://www.facebook.com/DoctorEricNepute/videos/146186203713467>

In the state of Florida, they are required to indicate to the patient the amount of amplifications that were used on their PCR test. If the amplifications are above the 25 cycle mark, you have an idea of how accurate your test is. You know that the possibility for a false positive is much higher.

The US Food and Drug Administration and the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention recommend running the PCR test at 40 cycles. These are the organizations that are making those recommendations that are leading to the false positives. Much of the data shows that testing above 25 cycles results in a lot of false positives.

The US FDA and the CDC recommended amplifying it at 40 cycles. They are the ones who are really responsible for all of these problems. Dr. Fauci even admitted this information on live TV and when he was questioned about the PCR test. He said, "If you get a cycle threshold of 36 cycles, you're looking at dead nucleotides." In other words, it's useless.

The Drosten test, which is the test that World health organization uses, recommends a cycle threshold amplified at 45 cycles.

Dr. Eric Neptune is not the only doctor who has questions.

Dr. Pierre Kory is a critical care and pulmonary medicine specialist at UW Health in Madison, Wisconsin. In summary, here is what he presented to the US Senate on December 9, 2020 regarding early Covid-19 treatments:

There is a solution to this crisis. There is a drug that is proven to have a miraculous impact on Covid-19. They have mountains of data that have accumulated in the last 3 months and all over the world showing the miraculous effects of IVERMECTIN. It basically obliterates the transmission of the virus. If you take it you will not get sick, they have used it on 800 healthcare workers and none of them got sick. Unfortunately, other healthcare workers who did not take it, did get sick.³

Dr. Richard Bartlett has said he has treated 100s of patients with Covid-19 and says its 100% successful when you treat them with Budesonide.⁴ Also, we cannot forget the positive results of people who took hydroxychloroquine combined with zinc.

Finally, Dr. Simone Gold quotes the CDC as saying the chances of dying from Covid-19 for these age groups are as follows (and this is with no treatments at all):

Ages/Recovery percentage

0 to 20 -- 99.97%,

20 to 40 -- 99.98%

50 to 69 -- 99.5%

Over 70 -- 95%

All of these statistics are based on no early treatments being used⁵. If early treatments are used, the recovery rates are even greater.

Let's end the fear and end the lockdowns today!

³ <https://www.bing.com/videos/search?view=detail&mid=B1BA291EBBF0D3BE14BDB1BA291EBBF0D3BE14BD>

⁴ <https://fortune.com/2020/07/24/budesonide-coronavirus-covid-richard-bartlett/>

⁵ <https://rumble.com/vczcqdr-simone-gold-truth-about-cv19-experimental-vaccine.html>